

[Draft] Minutes of the Teleconference of ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 34/WG4, 2009-10-15

Rex Jaeschke (rex@RexJaeschke.com)

2009-10-15

1. Opening remarks

The meeting started at 13:15 GMT. The convener, Murata-san, welcomed everyone to the 12th teleconference of WG4.

2. Roll call of delegates

The following members were present:

Name	Affiliation	Employer/Sponsor
Makoto Murata	WG4 Convener, JP	International University of Japan
Jirka Kosek	CZ	Consultant
Mario Wendt	DE	Microsoft
Jesper Lund Stocholm	DK	Ciber
Rex Jaeschke	Ecma, Project Editor	Consultant
Doug Mahugh	Ecma	Microsoft
Shawn Villaron	Ecma	Microsoft
Romeo Pruno	IT	IT Consult
Kimmo Bergius	FI	Microsoft
Mohamed Zergaoui	FR, W3C, XML Guild	Innovimax
Alex Brown	GB	Griffin Brown Digital Publishing Ltd.
Gareth Horton	GB	Datawatch

8 NBs and 3 Liaisons were represented.

3. Adoption of the agenda

The items

- Public Relations
- Using Subversion for Capturing Schemas

were added. The revised agenda was adopted by unanimous consent.

4. Administration

Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes [WG N 0097]

With the following changes, the minutes from the 2009-10-01 teleconference were adopted by unanimous consent:

1. Under Attendance, show Mohamed Zergaoui as the liaison for both W3C and XML Guild.
2. References to “WC3” should be to “W3C” instead. Also, we should use “W3C XML Schema” or “XSD”.
3. Change “were a superset of” to “had more information than”.

Outstanding Action Items

1. Rex will ask Ecma if it can provide public read-only access to the current mail archive. **A possible solution is still being tested**
2. Shawn will get and distribute a copy of the schema containing foreign attributes, which was initially used to generate the strict and transitional schema versions. **Pending**
3. Doug will see if he can get initial responses for the Open Font-related DRs. **Dropped**
4. Murata-san will look at writing an RFC for registering a generic OPC package media type and establishing a naming convention (such as "+opczip") for specialized media types derived from OPC. **Pending**
He has examined the list of registered media types. Other than xml, he had found two variations, which are serialization formats of xml. However, more work is needed.
5. Shawn and Murata-san will review the proposed text for the solution to DR 09-0012. **Pending**
6. Once the final wording for the solution to DR 09-0012 has been accepted, Shawn will register the obfuscatedFont content type. **Pending**
7. Shawn will create a new DR to define the two existing media types resulting from the discussion of DR 09-0036. **Pending**
8. Shawn, Alex, Dave, Mohamed, and Jesper will prepare one or more submissions on how Part 4 might be changed, so it can be used as a stand-alone document rather than being a set of edits to Part 1. **Pending**
9. Shawn, Mohamed, and Dave will help Gareth prepare to discuss ISO dates. **Pending**
10. Rex will discuss with ITTF representatives about our plans for future CORs and Amds, to see if we can publish a consolidated edition without having to re-ballot, and without having to conform to the ISO Part 2 style guide. That is, get clarification that a new edition is not considered to be a revision. **Mail sent to ITTF; awaiting a reply.**

11. Murata-san will study WC3's document <http://dev.w3.org/2006/waf/widgets/> and prepare a response. **Pending**
12. Murata-san and Shawn will identify a small set of DRs for a detailed trial run with assembla. **Pending**
13. Alex will post an email on why he'd like to decouple strict and transitional. **Pending**

Report from the WG4 Secretariat

The following NBs and liaisons have registered delegates to WG4: CA, CI, CN, CZ, DE, DK, Ecma, FI, FR, GB, IN, IT, JP, KR, NL, NO, PL, and ZA. All requests for additions, deletions, and changes to the delegate list should be sent to the WG4 Secretariat (rex@RexJaeschke.com).

For information about accessing the email list, and the document and email archive, please consult document WG4 N 0014 (2008).

Access to the documents on the Ecma site is restricted to registered members. For those documents that are to be made available to the public, Murata-san has provided copies of them at <http://www.itscj.ipsj.or.jp/sc34/wg4/>.

5. Defect reports

The latest version of the DR log was circulated as WG4 N 0094. The status of DRs at that time was as follows:

Status	Total	Technical	Editorial	Clarification
Open	33	21	2	10
Further Consideration Required	80	50	8	22
Last Call	0	0	0	0
Closed, to be incorporated in COR2	0	0	0	0
Closed, to be incorporated in AMD2	0	0	0	0
Closed, incorporated in COR1	175	67	90	18
Closed, incorporated in AMD1	24	24	0	0
Closed without action	14	7	3	4
Total	326	169	103	54

6. Public Relations

Murata-san reported that although WG4 had done a very good job thus far, he was concerned that too few people knew about our good work, and that he was interested in input as to how WG4 could promote itself, especially in order to try and get more implementers to participate. In response, members raised and discussed the following issues:

1. How can we get more exposure?

2. Is making the email archive available enough?
3. Some implementers may not be able to join for political reasons. Most likely already know how to join; however, they chose not to participate.
4. Although WG meetings are not open to the public at large, the convener can invite experts. If this were done, perhaps experts should be invited on a meeting-by-meeting or topic-by-topic basis. Several members were concerned that inviting too many such experts, or too often, as being perceived as undermining NBs with respect to their wanting members from their countries going through their NB.
5. Maybe informal blogging is sufficient; it does show that members can and do disagree. If we do this, how do outsiders find these sources? Can we add to the public WG4 site pointers to member blogs?
6. Who is our audience?

Regarding the shared public email archive access we requested Ecma to set up, this is not yet resolved, and has taken too long.

Three different members suggested they might be able to provide an alternate mail and archive hosting source.

What about a public moderated mail list?

Our first priority should be to get good control of our own list, and then look at the possibility of opening a moderated access to the public.

Action: Murata-san to contact Ecma to see if Ecma really can provide public read-only access to the WG4 email archive.

Action: Jirka, Jesper, and Murata-san will propose the requirements for future mail and archive hosting.

7. Using Subversion for Capturing Schemas

On 2009-10-14, Murata-san posted the following email to the WG4 list: I have created two branches (DCOR1 and FPDAM1) for RELAX NG schemas in Part 1, and two for those in Part 4. Branches can easily be merged.

Branches: <https://code.assembla.com/IS29500/subversion/nodes/trunk?rev=30>

Trunk (the original): <https://code.assembla.com/IS29500/subversion/nodes/branches?rev=30>

He gave a short overview of this effort.

Action: Murata-san to store XSD schemas in the subversion repository.

Action: Rex and Murata-san to see if they can generate Parts 1 and 4 Annexes A and B from the repository.

8. Future meetings

Face-to-Face Meetings:

The schedule is as follows:

1. 2009-12-01/03, Paris, FR (in conjunction with WG1, WG5, and, WG6)
2. 2010-03-22/25, Stockholm, SE (in conjunction with the SC 34 plenary)
3. 2010-06-14/16, Helsinki, FI (in conjunction with WG5)
4. 2010-09-20/23 or 2010-09-27/30 (exact dates to be decided), ZA (in conjunction with the SC 34 plenary)
5. 2010-12-06/10 or 2010-12-13/17 (exact dates to be decided), tentative offer from CN

Teleconferences:

[See <http://www.timeanddate.com/worldclock/meeting.html> for translation of GMT to your time zone. See WG4 document N 0021 for call-in details.]

The schedule is as follows:

1. 2009-10-29, 13:00 GMT
2. 2009-11-12, 13:00 GMT

9. Adjournment

Adjourned by unanimous consent at 15:50 GMT.