

# ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 34 N 1315

DATE: 2009-11-05

**ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 34**  
**Document Description and Processing Languages**  
**Secretariat: [Japan \(JISC\)](#)**

<b>DOC. TYPE</b>		Summary of Voting/Table of Replies
<b>TITLE</b>		Summary of Voting on SC 34 N 1248, ISO/IEC 29500-2: 2008/DCOR 1, Information technology -- Document description and processing languages -- Office Open XML File Formats -- Part 2: Open Packaging Conventions -- TECHNICAL CORRIGENDUM 1
<b>SOURCE</b>		SC 34 Secretariat
<b>PROJECT</b>		JTC 1.34.29500.02.00.01
<b>STATUS</b>		This document is forwarded to WG 4 for resolution of comments. WG 4 is requested to prepare a disposition of comments report, revised text and a recommendation for further processing.
<b>ACTION ID</b>		FYI
<b>DUE DATE</b>		
<b>DISTRIBUTION</b>		P, O and L Members of ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 34 ; ISO/IEC JTC 1 Secretariat; ISO/IEC ITTF
<b>ACCESS LEVEL</b>		Def
<b>ISSUE NO.</b>		103
<b>FILE</b>	<b>NAME SIZE (KB) PAGES</b>	1315.pdf  9

Secretariat ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 34 - IPSJ/ITSCJ (Information Processing Society of Japan/Information Technology Standards Commission of Japan)\* Room 308-3, Kikai-Shinko-Kaikan Bldg., 3-5-8, Shiba-Koen, Minato-ku, Tokyo 105-0011 Japan \*Standard Organization Accredited by JISC  
Telephone: +81-3-3431-2808 ; Facsimile: +81-3-3431-2808; E-mail: [kimura@itscj.ipsj.or.jp](mailto:kimura@itscj.ipsj.or.jp)

## Result of voting

### Ballot Information:

<b>Ballot reference:</b>	SC34N1248
<b>Ballot type:</b>	CIB
<b>Ballot title:</b>	ISO/IEC 29500-2: 2008/DCOR 1, Information technology -- Document description and processing languages -- Office Open XML File Formats -- Part 2: Open Packaging Conventions -- TECHNICAL CORRIGENDUM 1
<b>Opening date:</b>	2009-08-04
<b>Closing date:</b>	2009-11-04
<b>Note:</b>	

### Member responses:

<b>Votes cast (32)</b>	Armenia (SARM) Brazil (ABNT) Bulgaria (BDS) Canada (SCC) Chile (INN) China (SAC) Colombia (ICONTEC) Côte d'Ivoire (CODINORM) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Kenya (KEBS) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Lebanon (LIBNOR) Malaysia (DSM) Malta (MSA) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Romania (ASRO) Slovakia (SUTN) South Africa (SABS) Sweden (SIS) Switzerland (SNV) Thailand (TISI) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
------------------------	--

<b>Comments submitted (3)</b>	Mexico (DGN) Portugal (IPQ) Spain (AENOR)
<b>Votes not cast (4)</b>	Egypt (EOS) Pakistan (PSQCA) Sri Lanka (SLSI) Venezuela (FONDONORMA)

Questions:	
<b>Q.1</b>	"Do you agree with approval of the DCOR Text"
<b>Q.2</b>	"IF you Disapprove the Draft, would you please indicate if you accept to change your vote to Approval if the reasons and appropriate changes will be accepted?"

Answers to Q.1: "Do you agree with approval of the DCOR Text"		
<b>25 x</b>	<b>Approval as presented</b>	Armenia (SARM) Bulgaria (BDS) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Côte d'Ivoire (CODINORM) Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) Germany (DIN) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Kenya (KEBS) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Lebanon (LIBNOR) Malaysia (DSM) Malta (MSA) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Romania (ASRO) Slovakia (SUTN) South Africa (SABS) Sweden (SIS) Switzerland (SNV) Thailand (TISI) USA (ANSI)
<b>3 x</b>	<b>Approval with comments</b>	Canada (SCC) France (AFNOR) United Kingdom (BSI)
<b>1 x</b>	<b>Disapproval of the draft</b>	Brazil (ABNT)
<b>3 x</b>	<b>Abstention</b>	Chile (INN) Colombia (ICONTEC) India (BIS)

Answers to Q.2: "IF you Disapprove the Draft, would you please indicate if you accept to change your vote to Approval if the reasons and appropriate changes will be accepted?"		
<b>3 x</b>	<b>Yes</b>	Brazil (ABNT) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Lebanon (LIBNOR)
<b>0 x</b>	<b>No</b>	
<b>29 x</b>	<b>Ignore</b>	Armenia (SARM) Bulgaria (BDS) Canada (SCC) Chile (INN) China (SAC) Colombia (ICONTEC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Côte d'Ivoire (CODINORM) Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Kenya (KEBS) Malaysia (DSM) Malta (MSA) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Romania (ASRO) Slovakia (SUTN) South Africa (SABS) Sweden (SIS) Switzerland (SNV) Thailand (TISI) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)

Comments from Voters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
<b>Brazil (ABNT)</b>	<b><i>Comment File</i></b>	2009-11-04 18:08:54
<a href="#">CommentFiles/SC34N1248_ABNT.doc</a>		
<b>Canada (SCC)</b>	<b><i>Comment File</i></b>	2009-10-27 19:08:50
<a href="#">CommentFiles/SC34N1248_SCC.doc</a>		
<b>France (AFNOR)</b>	<b><i>Comment File</i></b>	2009-11-04 14:58:58

<a href="#">CommentFiles/SC34N1248_AFNOR.doc</a>		
<b>United Kingdom</b> (BSI)	<b>Comment File</b>	2009-11-04 10:31:04
<a href="#">CommentFiles/SC34N1248_BSI.doc</a>		

Comments from Commenters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
<b>Mexico (DGN)</b>	<b>Comment</b>	2009-11-03 20:42:36
DGN MX 1 Do you agree with approval of the DCOR Text Abstention 2 IF you Disapprove the Draft, would you please indicate if you accept to change your vote to Approval if the reasons and appropriate changes will be accepted? Ignore		
<b>Portugal (IPQ)</b>	<b>Comment</b>	2009-11-04 17:34:59
Abstention		
<b>Spain (AENOR)</b>	<b>Comment</b>	2009-11-04 17:56:56
ABSTAIN		

### Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2009-11-04

Document : ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 34 N 1248

[illegible]

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general      **te** = technical      **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

## Annex

Date Oct 21, 2009	Document <b>29500-3: 2008/DCOR 1,-- Part 2: Open Packaging Conventions</b>
----------------------	---

National Committee	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph Figure/ Table	Type of comment (General/ Technical/Editorial)	COMMENTS	Proposed change	OBSERVATIONS OF THE SECRETARIAT on each comment submitted
Canada	Change 2		Editorial	This changes the cited ISO/IEC 10646:2003 edition to an undated, floating, reference.	<p>Canada encourages an editorial change to this reference to clarify exactly what an "undated reference" means. For example, the W3C XQuery 1.0 Recommendation's normative reference to ISO/IEC 10646 states:</p> <p>ISO (International Organization for Standardization). ISO/IEC 10646:2003. Information technology—Universal Multiple-Octet Coded Character Set (UCS), as, from time to time, amended, replaced by a new edition, or expanded by the addition of new parts. [Geneva]: International Organization for Standardization. (See <a href="http://www.iso.org">http://www.iso.org</a> for the latest version.)</p> <p>Using the above kind of normative reference would make it very clear to implementers what an "undated reference" means.</p>	



## **French comments on ISO/IEC 29500 -2: 2008/ DCOR 1**

France approves ISO/IEC 29500 -2: 2008/ DCOR 1 (**SC 34 N 1248**) with the following comment:

=== Fix to DR 09-0169 ===

Instead of

"XML, Tim Bray, Jean Paoli, Eve Maler, C. M. Sperberg-McQueen, Eve Maler, and François Yergeau (editors). Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0, Fourth Edition.1

World Wide Web Consortium. 2006. <http://www.w3.org/TR/2006/REC-xml-20060816/>"

Should be

"XML, Tim Bray, Jean Paoli, Eve Maler, C. M. Sperberg-McQueen and François Yergeau (editors). Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0, Fourth Edition.1

World Wide Web Consortium. 2006. <http://www.w3.org/TR/2006/REC-xml-20060816/>"

(remove the repeated name of Eve Maler)

=== Fix to DR 09-0170 ===

Instead of

"XML Namespaces, Bray, Tim, Dave Hollander, Andrew Layman, and Richard Tobin (editors). Namespaces in XML 1.0. World Wide Web Consortium. 2006"

Should be

"XML Namespaces, Tim Bray, Dave Hollander, Andrew Layman, and Richard Tobin (editors). Namespaces in XML 1.0, Second Edition. World Wide Web Consortium. 2006"

(Tim Bray is only one person and this is the second edition)



# Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2009-10-30	Document: ISO/IEC 29500-2:2008/DCOR 1
------------------	---------------------------------------

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
GB	Corrig. 2		te	An undated reference to an RS is prohibited by the JTC 1 Directives Annex N which states: "any reference shall be specific and indicate the publication date and/or version number as appropriate".	Omit this correction.	
GB	Corrig. 3		ed	The footnote appears to say nothing of value, since any normative reference to a Reference Specification that has a date and/or edition number may need to be revised when the Reference Specification is revised. It's inclusion in the standard is therefore unnecessary and open to misinterpretation.	Replace the footnote text with the following text, or similar:  Implementers should be aware that a further correction of the normative reference to XML to refer to the current Edition will be necessary when the related Reference Specifications to which this International Standard also makes normative reference and which also depend upon XML, such as XSLT, XML Namespaces and XML Base, are all aligned with the latest Edition	

<sup>1</sup> **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

<sup>2</sup> **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.