

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2009-11-04

Document: : ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 34 N 1252

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
BR	3		te	Removes the UCS (Universal Character Set) reference version	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0001
BR	5		te	Removes the version of the UNICODE reference	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0002
BR	6		te	Changes the XML specification reference from version 1.1 to 1.0	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0003
BR	7		te	Changes the "Namespaces in XML" reference version from 1.1 to 1.0	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0004
BR	9, 13, 14		te	Adds a new subclause	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0005
BR	10		te	Adds a new enumerator	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0006
BR	11		te	Expand the supported attributes list	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0007
BR	16		te	Changes and expand the Word ML schema	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could	BR-0008

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2009-11-04

Document: : ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 34 N 1252

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
					break compatibility with existing implementations.	
BR	17		te	Expands the supported types for Math	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0009
BR	19		te	Adds a new supported attribute and changes the use of an existing one from “required” to optional	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0010
BR	20		te	Changes the structure of CT_ExternalLink	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0011
BR	22		te	Adds a new supported value (bwMode) to CT_GraphicalObjectFrame	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0012
BR	29, 120		te	Changes the minimum value of an existing simpleType	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0013
BR	30, 121		te	Adds a new supported value to an existing simpleType	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0014
BR	31, 32		te	Changes the base type from unsignedByte to unsignedInt	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0015

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2009-11-04

Document: : ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 34 N 1252

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
BR	36		te	Changes and expand the Word ML schema	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0016
BR	52		te	Changes and expand the supported types on Word ML	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0017
BR	53		te	Adds a new type to Word ML	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0018
BR	68		te	Adds a new attribute to an existing complex type sml_CT_CalcCell	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0019
BR	69		te	Changes the structure of CT_ExternalLink	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0020
BR	95, 114		te	Appears to be an editorial error, but the proposed solution could break compatibility with existing applications	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0021
BR	96		te	Adds a new supported value (bwMode) to CT_GraphicalObjectFrame	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0022
BR	122		te	Changes the base type from unsignedByte to unsignedInt and expands its maximum value	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could	BR-0023

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2009-11-04

Document: : ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 34 N 1252

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
				(from 255 to 4294967295)	break compatibility with existing implementations.	
BR	123		te	Changes the base type from unsignedShort to unsignedInt	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0024
BR	133		te	Change the supported elements of Math	Move the proposal to an Amendment, because the change proposed could break compatibility with existing implementations.	BR-0025
CA	1, 2		ed	These corrigenda are given with a blue underline, which according to the "Notational conventions" given for this document indicates that it is an addition. However, no indication is given as to whether this text is appended, pre-pended or inserted into the existing text.	Canada recommends that the text from #2 be appended to the existing material in the Scope clause, and that that text be followed by the text from #1. This would be in line with what Canada proposed at the BRM.	CA-0001
CA	3		ed	This changes the cited ISO/IEC 10646:2003 edition to an undated, floating, reference.	Canada encourages an editorial change to this reference to clarify exactly what an "undated reference" means. For example, the W3C XQuery 1.0 Recommendation's normative reference to ISO/IEC 10646 states: ISO (International Organization for Standardization). ISO/IEC 10646:2003. Information technology—Universal Multiple-Octet Coded Character Set (UCS), as, from time to time, amended, replaced by a new edition, or expanded by the addition of new parts. [Geneva]: International Organization for	CA-0002

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
					Standardization. (See http://www.iso.org for the latest version.) Using the above kind of normative reference would make it very clear to implementers what an "undated reference" means.	
CH			ge	<p>The Swiss National Body votes ABSTAIN, as no consensus could be reached in the Swiss mirror group.</p> <p>1. Concerns</p> <p>Concerns were raised against this corrigendum as to introduce the serial date format into the transitional conformance class. Removal of change no.12 from this DCOR would resolve this concern.</p> <p>1.1 Reasons for Concern</p> <p>Sc34N1252 states:</p> <p>12. §10.7, "Additional representation for dates and times (Part 1, Section 18.17.4)"</p> <p>[DR 09-0274] For a document of a transitional conformance class, each unique instant in SpreadsheetML time shall be stored as an ISO 8601-formatted</p>		CH-0001

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
				<p>string or as a serial value.</p> <p>Firstly, according to ISO/IEC 29500-1:2008, 18.17.4.1, (cit.) "all date values stored in cells within a SpreadsheetML file are stored in the ISO 8601 format". This text is not here by accident, but according to BRM-Resolution #7 (see Sc34N989) referencing document http://www.itscj.ipsj.or.jp/sc34/def/BRM/Response_DE-0028_dates_v9.doc instructing the project editor to insert this text into former section 13.17.4.1.</p> <p>This issue was extensively discussed at the BRM, and it was explicitly decided to allow nothing but ISO 8601 format for the document exchange format, for documents of transitional and strict conformance.</p> <p>Secondly, change no.12 poses a technical problem, as it allows an Ecma-376:2006 conformant Excel sheet containing the dates 1900-01-01 to 1900-02-28 to be interpreted as an ISO/IEC 29500:2008-conformant document containing the dates 1899-12-31 to 1900-02-27. Therefore Ecma-376:2006 Transitional conformant documents must be well distinguishable from ISO/IEC 29500:2008 Transitional.</p>		

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
CH			ge	<p>1.2 Procedural Considerations</p> <p>This ballot is subject to the JTC1 Directives, 5th Edition Version 3.0, section 9.11. It is for vote by the Sc34 members.</p> <p>The ballot document, numbered 34N1252, consists of 154 changes, concerning a variety of issues different of that of change no.12. A change so sensitive as change no.12 should have been balloted in a separate document. According to the minutes of the WG4 telephone conferences change no.12 was applied last-minute. It was not present in the Sc34/Wg4/N0062 draft of 2009-07-03, which contained only 97 changes.</p> <p>The majority of Wg4 participants did not welcome change no.12. It was not for technical reasons that the group finally accepted incorporation of this change into the ballot document, but only to avoid further delay. The conference call minutes and other Wg4 documents do not substantiate any technical reasons for change no.12, nor have we received any such reasons from those Wg4 members asked since we became aware of this change.</p> <p>The document version available at the Sc34 Copenhagen Plenary was far away from the</p>		CH-0002

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
				<p>ballot draft. The ballot text has only been available to those Sc34 P-members participating to Wg4, and even they have seen the ballot version only end of July, immediately before it was forwarded for vote.</p> <p>The JTC1 Directives, section 9.11.2 demand "Consideration of successive DCORs shall continue until the substantial support of the P-members of the committee has been obtained or a decision to abandon or defer the project has been reached."</p> <p>As the preceding paragraphs prove, regarding change no.12 such substantial support has not been achieved.</p> <p>Therefore we suggest that Sc34 re-submit a revised text for DCOR ballot after Wg4 has reached consensus about what technical issues need to be resolved and how they should be resolved.</p>		
CH			ge	<p>1.3 Conformance and Backward Compatibility</p> <p>The proposed change re-introduces the legacy serial date/time format into the transitional conformance class and creates an air of ISO/IEC 29500 transitional conformance for documents conforming to Ecma-376:2006, implemented by Microsoft Office 2007 and 2008.</p>		CH-0003

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
				<p>Indeed, it was made to resolve DR 09-0274, which is related to DR 09-275, both arising from recent WG4 conference calls where Ecma-376 vs. 29500 transitional conformance was extensively discussed.</p> <p>While DR 09-0274 claims that (cit.) "... the BRM never intended to disallow serial dates in Part 4", DR 09-275 claims (cit.) "As a result of changes made at the BRM, a number of existing Ecma-376 documents were unintentionally made invalid against the IS 29500 transitional schema."</p> <p>But at the BRM the Ecma-376 and the IS 29500 schema were strictly distinguished. Therefore BRM Resolution 21 instructs the editor to "incorporate an informative specification of the following, with a reference to it from the Scope [This reference is missing, which is yet another defect of the current text.]: - All XML elements which appear in ISO/IEC 29500 but do not appear in ECMA-376:2006; - All XML elements which do not appear in ISO/IEC 29500 but appear in ECMA-376:2006; ...".</p> <p>A particular concern of the BRM was to exclude the leap-year bug inherent to MS Excel's legacy versions from IS 29500. To this</p>		

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
				<p>end ISO 8601 format was chosen to replace the prior serial date format. The Swiss delegation making contributions to the serial-date issue as well as to the scope was, like other delegations, perfectly well aware of the impact on the transitional conformance class. It is not the case that the idea was to continue the use of serial dates in transitional documents.</p> <p>So, to make some existing Ecma-376 documents invalid against the requirements of IS 29500 was not unintentional, but in contrary the explicit will of the majority of the Sc34 members attending the BRM. Therefore the scope of ISO/IEC 29500-1:2008 states the goal (cit.) "to be capable of faithfully representing the preexisting corpus of word-processing documents, spreadsheets and presentations that had been produced by the Microsoft Office applications (from Microsoft Office 97 to Microsoft Office 2008, inclusive) at the date of the creation of ISO/IEC 29500."</p> <p>Now "faithful representation" must not be confused with conformance, as DR 09-0275 does. Nobody ever aimed to assign 29500 conformance to the old binary formats of Microsoft Office 97. But the transitional conformance class of 29500 contains elements required to faithfully represent them: They can</p>		

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
				<p>be converted into transitional format without loss, but not necessarily into strict format.</p> <p>The scope attributes the same to Microsoft Office 2007 and 2008 documents: They are convertible into 29500 transitional format, but not necessarily conformant with it. The scope only promises faithful representability, i.e. convertibility, not conformance. As a matter of fact, to avoid inconsistencies it is essential that such documents can be clearly distinguished from documents conformant to the transitional or strict conformance class.</p> <p>ISO/IEC 29500 provides extensive support for the faithful processing of serial dates. E.g. the dateCompatibility attribute (see part 1 18.17.4) allows the use of the year 1900 and 1904 date base systems during processing. Nevertheless, the file format is 8601, and nothing else.</p> <p>Coexistence of non-conformant to IS 29500 (but conforming to some other specification such as e.g. Ecma-376:2006), transitionally conformant and strictly conformant documents is easily handled by suitable import and export filters, provided that these different formats can be distinguished from each other.</p>		
CZ		Par. 68 on p. 15	te	Attributes r and ref should be made mutually exclusive. This is not possible in W3C XML Schema, but it is possible in RELAX NG	Change schema to: sml_CT_CalcCell =	CZ-0001

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
				schema.	(attribute r { sml_ST_CellRef } attribute ref { sml_ST_CellRef }), ...	
FR			ge	France abstains on ISO/IEC 29500 -4: 2008/ DCOR 1 (SC 34 N 1252) since the package of corrections proposed is incoherent with the standard and leads to irresolvable contradictions. An example of an identified incoherence may illustrate this: The provided schema for Part 4 contains modifications that could make the existing document invalid (such as change for ST_TextScale) and which ARE NOT reflected in the DCOR Text. Therefore France cannot reach a position on such document		FR-0001
GB	5		te	An undated reference to an RS is prohibited by the JTC 1 Directives Annex N which states: "any reference shall be specific and indicate the publication date and/or version number as appropriate".	Omit this correction.	GB-0001
GB	6		ed	The footnote appears to say nothing of value, since any normative reference to a Reference Specification that has a date and/or edition number may need to be revised when the Reference Specification is revised. It's inclusion in the standard is therefore	Replace the footnote text with the following text, or similar: Implementers should be aware that a further correction of the normative reference to XML to refer to the current Edition will be necessary when the	GB-0002

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
				unnecessary and open to misinterpretation.	related Reference Specifications to which this International Standard also makes normative reference and which also depend upon XML, such as XSLT, XML Namespaces and XML Base, are all aligned with the latest Edition	
JP	Electronic schemas		ge	Eliminate changes by the FPDAM 1 from the schema attachment files The schema attachment files contain not only those changes in introduced in the Part 4 DCOR 1 but also those introduced in the Part 4 FPDAM 1. Since it is unclear whether the Part 4 FPDAM 1 will be approved, Japan strongly requests that the schema attachment files should contain only those changed introduced in the Part 4 DCOR 1.	Japan has created schema files dedicated to Part 4 DCOR 1 as well as schema files dedicated to Part 4 FPDAM 1. They are available at: https://code.assembla.com/IS29500/subversion/nodes/branches?rev=44	JP-0001
JP			ed	Use snapToChars rather than snapTOCar In 29500-4, the text uses snapTOCar while the schema attachment files use snapToChars. In the DCOR, both the text and schema attachment files use snapTOCar. Japan believes that snapToChars is correct, and requests that both the text and schema attachment files be corrected.		JP-0002
JP	schemas		ge	Validation by major validators As part of the vote on DIS 29500, Japan pointed out interoperability problems of the		JP-0003

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
				<p>XSD schemas and requested that they should be processible by major validators such as MSXML, Xerces-J, and MSV.</p> <p>This comment was accepted and the schemas have been modified (see the BRM resolution 39).</p> <p>However, after the DCOR was sent for a ballot, it was pointed out that earlier versions of MSXML cannot handle OOXML XSD schemas. Japan requests that the OOXML XSD schemas (attachment files) be tested and modified, if necessary and possible.</p>		
JP	31		te	<p>#31 of the Part 4 DCOR and the schema file attachment is inconsistent, since the following line appears only in the schema file dml-chart.xsd.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><code><xsd:maxInclusive value="4294967295"/></code></p>		JP-0004
JP	70		te	<p>This change introduces a new schema SpreadsheetML_VML_Drawing.rnc in Appendix B. However, it is missing in the attachment zip files for schemas.</p>		JP-0005
JP	121			<p>This change is not implemented in the schema dml-chart.rnc</p>		JP-0006
JP	122		te	<p>#122 replaces</p> <p>maxInclusive = "255"</p>		JP-0007

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2009-11-04

Document: : ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 34 N 1252

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
				by maxInclusive = "4294967295" but this maxInclusive should be simply removed.		
MY	3		te	Removal of the UCS (Universal Character Set) version	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0001
MY	5		te	Removal of Unicode version	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0002
MY	6		te	Change of XML specification version from 1.1 to 1.0	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0003
MY	7		te	Change of "Namespaces in XML" version from 1.1 to 1.	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0004
MY	9		te	Adding new subclause	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved	MY-0005

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2009-11-04

Document: : ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 34 N 1252

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
					into an Amendment.	
MY	10		te	Adding more enumeration values in ST_NumberFormat	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0006
MY	11		te	Adding more attributes in dynamicFilter element	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0007
MY	13		te	Adding new subclause	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0008
MY	14		te	Adding new subclause	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0009
MY	16		te	Change in schema	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0010
MY	17		te	Change in schema	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved	MY-0011

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2009-11-04

Document: : ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 34 N 1252

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
					into an Amendment.	
MY	19		te	Change in schema	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0012
MY	20		te	Change in schema	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0013
MY	22		te	Change in schema	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0014
MY	29		te	Change in schema	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0015
MY	30		te	Change in schema	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0016
MY	31		te	Change in schema	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved	MY-0017

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2009-11-04

Document: : ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 34 N 1252

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
					into an Amendment.	
MY	32		te	Change in schema	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0018
MY	36		te	Change in schema	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0019
MY	52		te	Change in schema	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0020
MY	53		te	Change in schema	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0021
MY	68		te	Change in schema	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0022
MY	69		te	Change in schema	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved	MY-0023

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2009-11-04

Document: : ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 34 N 1252

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
					into an Amendment.	
MY	95		te	Change in schema	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0024
MY	96		te	Change in schema	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0025
MY	114		te	Change in schema	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0026
MY	120		te	Change in schema	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0027
MY	121		te	Change in schema	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0028
MY	122		te	Change in schema	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved	MY-0029

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
					into an Amendment.	
MY	123		te	Change in schema	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0030
MY	133		te	Change in schema	As the change breaks compatibility with existing implementations, the change is not suitable as Corrigendum and should be moved into an Amendment.	MY-0031
US	ISO/IEC 29500-4: 2008/ DCOR 1		ge	Concerns were expressed with the breadth of changes present in the DCORs for part 1 and part 4 of ISO/IEC 29500 and whether some of these changes would have been more appropriately processed by the amendment procedure. The US will follow up with a contribution of proposed guidelines for the scope of corrections versus amendments to approved standards.		US-0001

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.