

DR 09-0287 — OPC: Fragments clause unnecessary

Status: ~~Further Consideration Required~~ **Open**

Subject: OPC: Fragments clause unnecessary

Qualifier: Request for clarification

Submitter: Mr. Makoto Murata (JP)

Contact Information: eb2m-mrt@asahi-net.or.jp

Submitter's Defect Number: 08-00158

Supporting Document(s): none

Date Circulated by Secretariat: 2009-09-09

Deadline for Response from Editor: 2009-11-09

IS 29500 Reference(s): Part 2, §9.2.2, "Fragments", p. 19

Related DR(s): none

Nature of the Defect:

This subclause is unnecessary and is even harmful, since fragment identifiers are already formally defined in RFC 3986 and RFC 3987.

Solution Proposed by the Submitter:

Drop this subclause or make everything in this subclause clearly non-normative.

Schema Change(s) Needed:

Editor's Response:

Suggest the section be struck. No numbering adjustment will result in the elimination of this section, but the TOC will need update.

Remove from Table of Contents:

~~9.2.2 Fragments...//...19~~

Remove Part 2, §9.2.2, “Fragments”, p. 19

~~9.2.2 Fragments~~

~~Sometimes it is useful to address a portion of, or a specific point in, a part. In URLs, a fragment identifier is used for this purpose. (See RFC 3986.)~~

~~[*Example:* In an XML part a fragment identifier might identify a portion of the XML content using an XPath expression. *end example*]~~