Page numbers are as in the draft Murata-san circulated as paginated in my copy of Word for Mac.

Rex should check capitalization in headings for consistency with document style.

4.9 (page 5)

specially named stream 🡺 special stream

possibly insert ‘MIME’ before ‘media types’ in definition

I would add a note that referred to RFC 2045 or 2046. It is acceptable style to have notes with definitions

And, of course, move the term in the alphabetical order at some stage.

7. (page 13)

Para 1.

This use of the term “content type” was more abstract than “media types” and I read “content” as qualifying “organization” as well as “types.” Change to something like

“The package structure is intended to support the organization of constituent resources for various applications and categories of content. The specification is written for developers who are building systems that process package content.

Para 4. I might insert “MIME” before media type for this first occurrence, to emphasize that the term is being used in this narrow sense (not the sense where “media” means physical media such as CD, DVD, etc.)

8.2.3 (page 17)

Could change “Media types define a media type, a subtype, and an optional set of parameters.” To something like:

“Media types are constructed from a type, a subtype, and an optional set of parameters.

We decided that we would have a reference to RFC 2045 or RFC 2046 for conceptual introduction to media type and to RFC 7231 for syntax. I assume this is the place we do that. I have no time to do that wording now and leave it as an exercise!

(page 18)

I have found “Media types for package-specific parts are defined in Annex E.” a little ambiguous in the past. Can we change to “Media types for parts defined in this specification are listed in Annex E.”

8.5.1 (page 26)

I would drop “internal and external” and leave “This effectively hides the links”

9.2.2 (page 34)

3rd row in table. Remove hyphen in left-hand table cell.

9.2.3.1 (page 34)

remove hyphen in “part-media”

9.2.3.2 (page 34)

Last para on page, 3rd in sub-clause is now really confusing. “should include a specially named XML stream in the package, \*\*\*called\*\*\* the Media Types stream. [S2.2]” I think we should change this to include the name that is actually required, perhaps

“should include an XML stream, known as the Media Types stream, in the package, using the filename /[Content\_Types].xml. [S2.2]”

Or we should refer to 9.3.7, which is where the required name is given – or both.

9.2.3.3.5 (page 37)

Example 9-6. I suggest we add a sentence about the name of the file to the example.

Also, I don’t understand what “The Types element **is not a container for generic types**, but specifically for media types to be used within the package.

“ is trying to say, particularly in the part I’ve made bold.

9.3.1 (page 43)

Table 9-2, fourth row. I find this confusing. Suggest change to:

“Identified in ZIP item containing XML that identifies the media types for each part according to the pattern described in 9.2.3.2.”

Might also mention /[Content\_Types].xml or refer to 9.3.7

Annex C. (page 82)

C.2 Capitalize ‘Types’ in heading

Annex D (page 86)

C.2 Capitalize ‘Types’ in heading

Annex E (page 90)

Not certain whether top row in Table E-1 should use the name /[Content\_Types].xml. Maybe an informative Note would be good here.

“The media types available for use in a package are listed in Table E-2” seems confusing – since image/jpeg, etc., can be used.

Maybe something like:

“The media types for the parts defined in this specification for a package are listed in Table E-2.”