|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| GB 001 |  |  |  | ED | The references to parts of ISO/IEC 29500 should all follow the correct ISO style for the presentation of (undated) references to International Standards, i.e. ‘ISO/IEC 29500-1’, ‘ISO/IEC 29500-2’ and ‘ISO/IEC 29500-3’ and not any of the abbreviations in the current text (i.e. ‘29500-1’, ‘IS 29500-1’, ‘IS 29500-2’, ‘29500-3’, ‘IS 29500-3’).. | **WG4 Response**  Agreed. All occurrences of “[IS] 29500 …” will be changed to “ISO/IEC 29500 …”. |  |
| KR 002 |  |  |  | ge | The text should be provided with line numbers so that comments can make easy references. | **WG4 Response**  A useful suggestion. No action needed now. |  |
| CZ 003 |  | 02.02 | First source code example | Ed | Missing quotes around namespace URI in declaration of xmlns:w | Add quotes  **WG4 Response**  Agreed: Changed to  xmlns:w="<http://schemas.openxmlformats.org/wordprocessingml/2006/main>" |  |
| CZ 004 |  | 02.03 | First source code example | Ed | Missing quotes around namespace URI in declarations of xmlns:w and xmlns:mc | Add quotes  **WG4 Response**  Agreed: Changed to  <w:document xmlns:mc= "<http://schemas.openxmlformats.org/markup-compatibility/2006>" xmlns:w="<http://schemas.openxmlformats.org/wordprocessingml/2006/main>" |  |
| CZ 005 |  | 02.04 | First source code example | Ed | Missing quotes around namespace URI in declaration of xmlns:mymodel | Add quotes  **WG4 Response**  Agreed: Changed to  <ext xmlns:mymodel="<http://myspreadsheetapp.com/modelInputsAndOutputs>" |  |
| KR 006 |  | 02.04 | Paragraph 4 | ed | 1st line | … contains an exLst element, 🡪  …contains an extLst element,  **WG4 Response**  Agreed: Changed to  “The CT\_Cell type in SpreadsheetML contains an extLst element, …” |  |
| KR 007 |  | 02.04 | Paragraph 4 | ed | 3rd line | The resulting sheetdata 🡪  The resulting sheetData  **WG4 Response**  Agreed: Changed to  “The resulting sheetD~~d~~ata for a given spreadsheet …” |  |
| KR 008 |  | 02.04 | Paragraph 5 | ed | 6th line | and adjust them 🡪  and adjusts them  **WG4 Response**  Agreed: Changed to  “It assumes that they will contain spreadsheet row/column references and adjusts them appropriately …” |  |
| KR 009 |  | 02.05 | Paragraph 4 | ed | 1st line | the \\_rels\.rels part 🡪  the \_rels\.rels part  (This is to be consistent with the style of “[Content\_Types].xml” in the 5th paragraph)  **WG4 Response**  Agreed that there is an inconsistency here. We will make the following changes:  Secondly, a relationship item is added to the ~~\~~/\_rels~~\~~/.rels part.  Thirdly, an element is added to the /[Content\_Types].xml stream, unless an appropriate element is already present.  If not already present in the /[Content\_Types].xml stream, the following addition would be appropriate: |  |
| KR 010 |  | 02.05 | Paragraph 6 | ed | 2nd line | it into XML this extension 🡪  it into XML, this extension  **WG4 Response**  Agreed: Changed to  “… there is no requirement to serializ~~s~~e it into XML, this extension mechanism …”  [Note the British-English spelling of “serialize”, as this was written by Scotsman]. As we’ve used US-English spelling throughout 29500, this was changed as well.] |  |
| KR 011 |  | Bibliography |  | ed | 1st line | The following are 🡪  The followings are  **WG4 Response**  Rejected:  In this context, the word “following” is used as an adjective with an implied noun of “item[s]”. If it were written out in full, it would be  [plural case] The following references are useful for implementers …  Or  [singular case] The following reference is useful for implementers …  In neither case does “following” change.  The proposed word “followings” is the plural form of the noun “following”, and is not appropriate in this case. |  |