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Nature of the Defect:

[From Caroline] Unless I'm going blind (or am just confused by Arabic scripts/fonts, which other readers may be), I am seeing two instances of the same example markup that are explained to have DIFFERENT results.

The first instance is the first example in §17.3.2.26:

<w:r>
 <w:rPr>
 <w:rFonts w:ascii="Courier New" w:cs="Times New Roman" />
 </w:rPr>
 <w:t>English ??????? </w:t>
</w:r>

followed by:

“In this run, both English and ??????? should be in ASCII font slot, according to the two-step algorithm below. Therefore, both of them should be in the Courier New font face.”

The second instance is immediately before the attributes table:

<w:r>
 <w:rPr>
 <w:rFonts w:ascii="Courier New" w:cs="Times New Roman" />
 </w:rPr>
 <w:t>English ??????? </w:t>
</w:r>

followed by:

“This text run must therefore use the Courier New font for all characters in the range U+0000 to U+007F, and must use the Times New Roman font for all characters in the Complex Script range.”

I have managed to download DR 09-0040, but do not have time now to follow it through to see if I can figure out when/why the duplication appeared or which might be correct.

**2016-12-06 Rex Jaeschke:**

I agree that something looks wrong here. For the same example, in the first case, it says, “both of them should be in the Courier New font face”, while in the second case, “This text run must therefore use the Courier New font for all characters in the range U+0000 to U+007F, and must use the Times New Roman font for all characters in the Complex Script range.”

It seems to me that we need to figure out which of the two is correct and remove the other.

Solution Proposed by the Submitter:

None

Schema Change(s) Needed:

No

**Editor’s Response:**

None

Changes to Part 1: N Part 2: N Part 3: N Part 4: N